Case 1:17-cv-06136 Document 1-4 Filed 10/20/17 Page 1 of 72 PageID #: 14

| At an IA | S Part | of t | he Civil | Court of |
|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| the State | of Nev | v York, h | eld in an | d for the |
| County | of Qu | eens, at | the Co | urthouse |
| located | at | 88-17 | Sutphin | Blvd. |
| Jamaica, | New | York, | 11435 | on the |
| | day of | 20 | 17. | , |

PRESENT:

Justice

| CIVIL COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF QUEENS | TE OF NEW YORK | | |
|--|----------------|------------|-----------------|
| JANICE BUCZKOWSKI, | | | |
| -against- | Plaintiffs, | ORDER ' | TO SHOW CAUSE |
| JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPO AVPORT MANAGEMENT, L | | Index No.: | TS-300408-16/QU |
| | Defendants. | | |

Upon the annexed Affirmation of Michael J. Asta, Esq. of the law firm of Asta & Associates, P.C. located at 450 7th Ave., Suite 2205, New York, New York 10123, dated the 15th day of September, 2011, and upon all of the exhibits annexed thereto; and upon all the pleadings and proceedings heretofore had herein, let the respondents Optum and United Healthcare Services show cause before this Court at an IAS Part 30 of the Civil Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of Queens, at the Courthouse located at 88-17 Sutphin Blvd., Jamaica, New York 11435 on the day of day of 2017, at 9:30 in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why an Order should not be made and entered extinguishing the purported liens and/or subrogation rights asserted by the respondents herein, and awarding costs and fees to the plaintiff, and for such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just and proper.

Let service of a copy of this Order upon the respondents Optum, 11000 Optum Circle, MN 102 0300, Eden Prairie, MN 55344 and United Healthcare Services, 1 Pennsylvania Plaza, #8, New York, N.Y. 10119, by personal service pursuant to CPLR 308, by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by regular mail; and upon the defendants by service upon their attorneys of record by personal service pursuant to CPLR 308, by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by regular mail; on or before the Aday of Service pursuant, 2017 be deemed good, timely, and sufficient service and notice hereof.

SEP 1 9 2017

ENTER,

J. S. C.

CN ALL OPDERS TO SHOW CAUSE
THE ORIGINAL COPY TO GETHER WITH
APPIDAMENT OF BERVICE STUST BE
SUPPORTED TO CARROLS OFFICE 48 < 48
FOLIAM PRIOR TO COURT DATE.

HON, MOJOAN C. DANGMAN

| CIVIL COURT OF THE S COUNTY OF QUEENS | | · |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| JANICE BUCZKOWSKI, | ×X | |
| -against- | Plaintiffs, | ATTORNEY'S AFFIRMATION |
| JET BLUE AIRWAYS CO AVPORT MANAGEMEN | RPORATION and AFCO/ Γ, LLC., | Index No.: TS-300408-16/QU |
| | Defendants | |

Michael J. Asta, Esq., an attorney at law duly admitted to practice law before all the Courts of record of the State of New York, hereby affirms the following to be true upon information and belief under the penalties of perjury:

- 1. I am a principal of the law firm of Asta & Associates, P.C., attorneys for plaintiff in the above captioned action, and as such I am fully familiar with the facts and circumstances surrounding this application by virtue of a file maintained in my office.
- 2. This application, brought on by Order to Show Cause, seeks an Order extinguishing the liens and/or subrogation claims which the respondents herein have attempted to assert.

I. BRIEF FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

- 3. This action was commenced by the filing of a Summons and Complaint on December 1, 2014. A copy of the Summons and Complaint is annexed hereto as Exhibit "A". Copies of defendants' Answers are annexed hereto as Exhibit "B". Amongst other things, the Complaint alleged negligence on the part of the defendants in causing a misleveled jetway which caused plaintiff to trip and fall as she attempted to disembark from her Jet Blue flight.
- 4. During the pendency of this case we received correspondence from Optum on behalf of United Healthcare Services, an insurance company, alleging a claim and/or subrogation interest in this matter. In their initial letter, Optum provided no documentation supporting their

claim that this is a self-funded ERISA plan (see Exhibit "C"). Optum then sent a letter on April 8, 2017 claiming a lien of \$69,774.20 (Exhibit "D").

- 5. Thereafter, on April 10, 2017 we requested information and documentation from Optum and JP Morgan Chase, Co., the plaintiff's husbands' employer at the time of her accident, regarding the health insurance plan. A copy of plaintiff's request is attached as Exhibit E. We advised in the letter that 29 U.S.C. Sec. 1132(c) requires that plan information be provided within 30 days from the receipt of the request or there is a penalty of \$100 per day and other costs, including attorney's fees.
- 6. Respondents then wrote back on April 26, 2017 providing few of the documents requested by plaintiff (Exhibit F). The documents provided were the plan, the medical summary of payments, and form 5500. The documents furnished by Optum provided no such evidence that the medical plan of the plaintiff is a self-funded ERISA plan. Specifically, Optum claimed to provide "recovery services" for the United HealthCare Services. Further, they provided plan documents from plaintiff's husbands' employer, JP Morgan Chase, Co., indicating that JP Morgan Chase, Co., contracted with United HealthCare Services to provide health insurance to its employees and their families.
- 7. Attached as Exhibit G is the Form 5500 and Schedule A for JP Morgan Chase Health and Income Protection Plan for Active Employees. Per Section 9(a), the Plan funding arrangement is "Insurance", and per Section 9(b), the Plan benefit arrangement is "Insurance". Furthermore, Schedule A, Parl 1(a) the name of Insurance carrier is HIP Health Plan of New York. Schedule A, Part III, Welfare Contract Information, Benefit and Contract type, states there is a HMO contract.
- 8. Plaintiff's medical bills were paid by insurance. Indeed, Optum seeks to recover for United Healthcare services, an insurance company.
- 9. On June 26, 2017 plaintiff advised Optum in writing that under New York law, GOL § 5-335 bars a health insurer or benefit provider from interposing a claim or lien in a personal injury matter (see, June 26, 2017 letter, Exhibit "H"). It is clear that respondent United Healthcare

Services is not a self-funded ERISA plan. Rather plaintiff's husbands' employer, JP Morgan Chase, paid it to provide health insurance coverage to JP Morgan Chase employees and their families. This is the exact opposite of a self-funded arrangement. This is a traditional health insurance plan provided to the employees of another company.

10. Despite the evidence that this is not an ERISA plan, Optum refused to withdraw its claim per its letter of July 6, 2017 (Exhibit I).

II. RESPONDENT IS NOT A SELF-FUNDED ERISA PLAN, AND ACCORDINGLY NEW YORK STATE LAW IS NOT PREEMPTED

- 11. Janice Buczkowski's husband was employed by JP Morgan Chase, and JP Morgan Chase contracted with United Healthcare Services to provide health insurance benefits to its employees and their families. Thus, respondent United Healthcare is not a "self-funded ERISA plan." Rather, they are a traditional health insurer providing health care insurance benefits to the employees of another company, JP Morgan Chase, for a fee.
- 12. In the landmark United States Supreme case of FMC Corp. v. Holliday, 498 U.S. 52, 111 S.Ct. 403 (1990), the United States Supreme Court drew a critical distinction between self-funded ERISA plans and insured plans. With respect to the former, (the self-funded ERISA plans), State anti-subrogation laws will be preempted. See FMC Corp., 498 U.S. at 61, 64-65. With respect to the latter, (insured plans), they will not be preempted. See id.
- 13. In its analysis of whether a State anti-subrogation law will be preempted, the United States Supreme Court looked at both the "saving clause" and the "deemer clause." The saving clause embodied in 29 U.S.C. § 1144(b)(2)(A) states as follows:

"Except as provided in subparagraph (B) [the deemer clause], nothing in this subchapter shall be construed to exempt or relieve any person from any law of any state which regulates insurance, banking, or securities."

14. The U.S. Supreme Court held that the Pennsylvania anti-subrogation law in question regulated insurance. Specifically the Court stated that the Pennsylvania law "directly controls the terms of insurance contracts by invalidating any subrogation provisions that they contain. It does not merely

have an impact on the insurance industry; it is aimed at it. This returns the matter of subrogation to state law. Unless the statute is excluded from the reach of the saving clause by virtue of the deemer clause, therefore, it is not preempted."

FMC Corp., 498 U.S. at 61 (citations omitted).

- 15. The deemer clause embodied in 29 U.S.C. § 1144(b)(2)(B) states as follows: "Neither an employee benefit plan . . . nor any trust established under such a plan, shall be deemed to be an insurance company or other insurer, bank, trust company, or investment company or to be engaged in the business of insurance or banking for purposes of any law of any state purporting to regulate insurance companies, insurance contracts, banks, trust companies, or investment companies."
- 16. Interpreting the deemer clause, the United States Supreme Court states as follows: "We read the deemer clause to exempt self-funded ERISA plans from state laws that "regulate insurance" within the meaning of the saving clause. . . . As a result, self-funded ERISA plans are exempt from state regulation insofar as that regulation 'relate[s] to' the plans."

FMC Corp., 498 U.S. at 61 (emphasis added).

17. In so holding, the Supreme Court drew a critical distinction between self-insured ERISA plans, and plans which are insured. Specifically the Court held as follows:

"An insurance company that insures a plan remains an insurer for purposes of state laws 'purporting to regulate insurance' after application of the deemer clause. The insurance company is therefore not relieved from state insurance regulation. The ERISA plan is consequently bound by state insurance regulations insofar as they apply to the plan's insurer."

Id.

- 18. The Court went on to note that if a plan is insured, it is subject to state regulation. <u>Id.</u> at 64.
- 19. There is a critical distinction between the case at bar and the facts before the Court in <u>FMC Corp. v. Holliday</u>. In <u>FMC Corp. v. Holliday</u>, the infant plaintiff's father was an employee of FMC Corporation. FMC Corporation self-funded its own plan. It did not purchase an insurance policy from any insurance company in order to satisfy its obligations to its participants.

- 20. In the case at bar, however, plaintiff's husband was an employee of JP Morgan Chase. JP Morgan Chase contracted with respondent United Healthcare Services to provide health insurance benefits to JP Morgan Chase employees and their family members. While FMC Corporation self-funded its plan, JP Morgan Chase entered into an insurance contract with respondent to provide health care coverage to its employees. The self-funded plan at issue in FMC Corp. is exempt from state laws regulating insurance; the contract with respondent United Healthcare Services is not exempt from state laws, and is thus subject to New York State antisubrogation law (GOL § 5-335).
- 21. A recent case interpreting the United State Supreme Court holding in <u>FMC Corp.</u> v. Holliday is the case of <u>Coleman v. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Alabama, Inc.</u>, 53 So.3d 1052 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App., 1st Dist. 2010). Initially the Court in <u>Coleman</u> held that State Courts have jurisdiction to hear actions in which a participant or beneficiary of an ERISA plan seeks to enforce his or her rights, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B).
- 22. Secondly, the Court held that anti-subrogation laws such as the Florida law in question, and such as New York's GOL § 5-335, "regulate insurance" within the meaning of the saving clause, and thus are not preempted unless the plan in question is a self-funded ERISA plan. See Coleman, 53 So.3d at 1052-60. In holding that the plan in question was not a self-funded ERISA plan, and thus holding that Florida's anti-subrogation laws apply, the Florida Court engaged in an extensive discussion of the deemer clause, review of which is appropriate here. The Florida Court specifically states as follows:

"29 U.S.C. § 1003 defines self-insured employee benefit plans and states:

- (a) Except as provided in subsection (b) or (c) of this section and in sections 1051, 1081, and 1101 of this title, this subchapter shall apply to any employee benefit plan if it is established or maintained -
 - (1) by any employer engaged in commerce or in any industry or activity affecting commerce; or

- (2) by any employee organization or organizations representing employees engaged in commerce or in any industry or activity affecting commerce; or
- (3) by both.

Thus, the 'deemer clause' will only apply to those insurance plans which are self-funded by either by the employer or a union. In a self-funded insurance plan, the employer assumes the risk of providing medical benefits to employees with its own funds. The employer provides a plan, similar to insured plans, setting out the eligibility and coverage limits. These plans, similar to insured employee benefits plans, are covered by ERISA unless exempted."

Coleman, 53 So.3d at 1058-59 (emphasis added) (citing 29 U.S.C. § 1003; FMC Corp., 498 U.S. at 61).

23. Thus, only when an employer seeks to recover benefits paid to its own employee from its own self-funded plan, or when a union benefit plan seeks to recover from a union member, will state anti-subrogation laws be preempted. However, where United Healthcare attempts to recoup benefits paid to an JP Morgan Chase employee or his spouse, pursuant to a contract of insurance, state anti-subrogation laws such as GOL § 5-335 are not preempted.

III. RESPONDENTS HAVE NO RIGHT TO IMPOSE A CLAIM, LIEN, OR SUBROGATION INTEREST UNDER NEW YORK STATE LAW

- 24. As it is clear that respondents are not self-funded ERISA plans, and thus that New York State law is not preempted, the next inquiry is whether such an interest may be recognized under New York State law.
- 25. Pursuant to GOL § 5-335, a private health insurer may not seek reimbursement or subrogation against any settling party with respect to benefits which it may have paid or was obligated to pay. Clearly, since plaintiffs' husband was employed by JP Morgan Chase and JP Morgan Chase contracted with United Healthcare to provide health insurance benefits to its employees and their families, respondent is a private health insurer.
 - 26. General Obligations Law § 5-335(a) states as follows:

When a plaintiff settles with one or more defendants in an action for personal injuries...it shall be conclusively presumed that the settlement does not include any compensation for the cost of health care services...to the extent those losses or expenses have been or are obligated to be paid or reimbursed by a benefit provider, except for those payments as to which there is a statutory right of reimbursement.

- 27. As noted above, since respondent is not a self-funded ERISA plan, it has no right of recovery under Federal law in this matter, and New York State law is not preempted.
- 28. In view of the above, it is crystal clear that respondent has no right of recovery under Federal law, and no right of recovery under State law either. This has been pointed out to respondent, and in writing, on numerous occasions. Nonetheless respondent has refused to withdraw its claims in this matter. Accordingly, costs should be imposed against the respondent.
- 29. No prior application for this relief has been made to this or any other Court or Judge.

 WHEREFORE, it is respectfully requested that the instant application be granted in its entirety, and for such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York September 18, 2017

I have read the foregoing and I certify that, upon information and belief, the source of which is the review of a file maintained by my office, the foregoing Attorney's Affirmation is not frivolous as defined in Subsection (c) of Section 130-1.1 of the rules of the Chief Administrator.

Michael J. Asta, Esq.

EXHIBIT A

(FILED: QUEENS COUNTY CLERK 12/01/2014 01:58 PM NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 769139/2014
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/01/2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF QUEENS

JANICE BUCZKOWSKI,

Plaintiff.

-against-

JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, and AFCO/AVPORT MANAGEMENT, LLC,

Defendants.

To the above named Defendant(s):

Index No.: 709139/2014 Date Filed: 12/01/2014

SUMMONS

Plaintiff designates QUEENS County as the place of trial.

The basis of venue is defendant let Blue Airways Corporation's place of business.

JETBLIIF ATRWAYS

DEC 1 0 2014

LEGAL DEPT.

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's attorneys within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: New York, New York December 1, 2014

REZA REZVANI

Law Offices of Asta & Associates, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 450 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2205 New York, New York 10123 Telephone No.: (212) 244-6555

TO:

Jet Blue Airways Corporation 27-01 Queens Plaza Long Island City, New York 11101

AFCO AvPorts Management, LLC 45025 Aviation Drive, Suite 100 Dulles International Airport Dulles, VA 20166

| COUNTY OF QUEENS | · |
|---|-----------------------|
| JANICE BUCZKOWSKI. | Index No.: 70139/2014 |
| Plaintiff. | maex 1401 191/2014 |
| -against- | VERIFIED COMPLAINT |
| JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION and AFCO/AVPORT MANAGEMENT, LLC. | |
| Defendants. | |
| | { |

Plaintiff. JANICE BUCZKOWSKI (hereinafter. "Plaintiff"), complaining of the Defendants, by her attorneys. LAW OFFICES OF ASTA & ASSOCIATES. P.C., as and for her Verified Complaint, respectfully alleges upon information and belief as follows:

- 1. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the Plaintiff, JANICE BUCZKOWSKI, was and still is, a resident of the County of Seminole, State of Florida.
- 2. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION (hereinafter "JETBLUE") was and still is a domestic corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 3. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant JET BLUE was and still is a foreign corporation, authorized to conduct business in the State of New York.
- 4. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant JET BLUE, maintained a principal place of business in the County of Queens, State of New York.

- 5. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant AFCO/ AVPORT MANAGEMENT, LLC (hereinafter "AFCO"), was and still is a domestic corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 6. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant AFCO was and still is a foreign corporation, authorized to conduct business in the State of New York.
- 7. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant AFCO, did business in the State of New York.
- 8. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, there was premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York,
- 9. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE was the owner of an airline terminal at the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York.
- 10. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE leased an airline terminal at the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York.
- 11. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE operated an airline terminal at the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York.
- 12. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE controlled an airline terminal at the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York.
 - 13. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE maintained an

airline terminal at the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York,

- 14. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE managed airline terminal at the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York,
- 15. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant AFCO operated the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York.
- 16. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant AFCO controlled the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York,
- 17. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant AFCO maimained the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York.
- 18. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant AFCO managed the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York.
- 19. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the Westchester County airport was a publicuse airport frequented by the public in general.
- 20. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the Westchester County Airport had a jet way.

- 21. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the jet way at the Westchester County Airport, was and still is an airport runway, in the County of White Plains, State of New York.
- 22. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, pedestrians would use the jet way at the Westchester County Airport to get to the airport terminal,
- 23. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, pedestrians travelling on JET BLUE flights would use the jet way at the Westchester County Airport to get to the airport terminal.
- 24. That on June 7, 2014, plaintiff was a passenger on a JET BLUE flight which landed at the Westchester County Airport.
- 25. That on June 7, 2014, while this plaintiff was lawfully a pedestrian on the jet way at Westchester County Airport, she was caused to trip and fall and sustained serious and permanent injuries.
- 26. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE was the owner of the jet way at the Westchester County Airport.
- 27. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE leased the jet way at the Westchester County Airport.
- 28. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE operated the jet way at the Westchester County Airport.
- 29. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE controlled the jet way at the Westchester County Airport.
- 30. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE maintained the jet way at the Westchester County Airport.
- 31. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant JET BLUE managed the jet way at the Westchester County Airport.

- 32. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant AFCO operated the jet way at the Westchester County Airport.
- 33. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant AFCO controlled the jet way at the Westchester County Airport.
- 34. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant AFCO maintained the jet way at the Westchester County Airport.
- 35. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant AFCO managed the jet way at the Westchester County Airport.

AS AND FOR A FRIST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 36. That all times hereinafter mentioned, by virtue of the carelessness, recklessness and negligence of the defendants, and each of them, their agents, servants and or employees in the maintenance and operation and control of the aforesaid property, a dangerous, unlawful and public nuisance was created, allowed and permitted to occur on the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester. State of New York
- 37. That on June 7, 2014, while the plaintiff was lawfully and properly deplaning the JET BLUE plane she had been travelling on at the aforementioned location, she was caused to trip and fall on a bazardous surface which was present due to defendant's negligence, causing an unsafe, dangerous and otherwise hazardous condition on the jet way on the premises known as Westchester County Airport, located at 214 Airport Road, County of Westchester, State of New York, wherein and whereby the plaintiff was caused to sustain serious and severe personal injuries.

- 38. That on or about June 7, 2014, and for a considerable period of time prior thereto, the Defendants, their agents, servants and/or employees had negligently, recklessly and carelessly caused, allowed and permitted the said premises to be, become and remain in a dangerous and defective condition for an unreasonably long and continuing period of time; thereby exposing guests and others lawfully on the said premises to an unreasonable risk of harm and the Defendants, their agents, servants and/or employees, were otherwise reckless and careless in the premises.
- 39. That the said occurrence and the injuries resulting therefrom and sustained by the Plaintiff, was caused by reason of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, their agents, servants and/or employees, in connection with the ownership, operation, maintenance and control of the said premises.
- 40. That as a result of the aforesaid accident caused by Defendants negligence. Plaintiff. has suffered severe personal injuries, both temporary and permanent, has suffered and will suffer pain and mental anguish, has been and will be compelled to expend substantial sums of money for hospital, medical aid and assistance; has been and will be disabled from her vocation, and has been otherwise damaged in a sum of money having a present value that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction of this matter, along with fees, costs, and expenses.
- 41. This action falls within one or more of the exceptions set forth in CPLR §1602 (1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)(8)(9)(10)(11)(12)(13).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants in a sum of money having a present value which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which

Verification

STATE OF NEW YORK)

) ss:

COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

Reza Rezvani an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the Courts of this State affirms the truth of the following under penalty of perjury and pursuant to Rule 2106 of the CPLR: I am the attorney of record for the plaintiff herein. I have read the annexed Summons and Verified Complaint, know the contents thereof and the same are true to my knowledge, except those matters therein which are stated to be alleged on information and belief, as to those matters I believe them to be true. My knowledge is based upon conversations with the plaintiff and upon records on file in our office.

The reason I make this verification instead of plaintiff, is because said plaintiff is not within the County where my firm maintains its office.

Dated: December 1, 2014 New York, NY

Reza Rezvani

| COUNTY OF | QUEENSX | TORK |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Janice Buczko | ,- | |
| | Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s), | Index No. <u>469 39/14</u> |
| | egainst - | |
| Jet Blue Airwa | ys Corporation, et al. | |
| | Defendant(s)/Respondent(s). | |
| | (| |

NOTICE REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF ELECTRONIC FILING SUPREME COURT CASES

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that plaintiff(s)/petitioner(s) [defendant(s)/respondent(s)] in the case captioned above intends that this matter proceed as an electronically-filed case in the New York State Courts Electronic Filing System ("NYSCEF") in accordance with the procedures therefor, set forth in Uniform Rule 202.5-b and described below. Under that Rule, filing and service of papers by electronic means cannot be made by a party nor can electronic service be made upon a party unless that party has consented to use of the System for the case in question. Each party served with this Notice must promptly file with the court and serve on all other parties either a consent or a declination of consent to electronic filing and service through NYSCEF for this case. (See Instruction # 2 below.)

General Information

Electronic filing offers significant benefits for attorneys and litigants, permitting documents to be filed with the County Clerk and the court and served, between or among consenting parties, by posting the documents on the NYSCEF Website, which can be done at any time of the day or night on any day of the week. There is no fee to use the NYSCEF System, whether for filing, service, or consultation of the electronic docket, nor is there a charge to print documents from the docket. Normal filing fees must be paid, but this can be done by credit or bank card on-line. For additional procedures and information, see Uniform Rule 202.5-b, any e-filing protocol that may have been promulgated by the court in question, and the NYSCEF Website at www.nycourts.gov/efile.

Instructions

- Service of this Notice constitutes consent to e-filing and a statement of intent by the undersigned to use the NYSCEF System in this case. When an action or proceeding is being commenced through the NYSCEF System, this Notice must accompany service of the initiating papers.
- 2. Each party served with this Notice may consent to e-filing either: (i) by filing with the court and serving on all parties of record a consent to e-filing, or (ii) if an authorized e-filing user, by filing a consent electronically in the manner provided at the NYSCEF site. Parties who do not wish to consent must file and serve a written declination of consent. If one party or some but fewer than all parties consent, NYSCEF may be used by and between or among consenting parties.
- 3. Each participating attorney, unless already registered, or self-represented party must PROMPTLY create a NYSCEF account and obtain the confidential Filing User Identification Number and Password necessary to use the system. To create a NYSCEF account, go to www.nycourts.gov/efile, click the Create an Account link, and follow the instructions.
- 4. For additional information about NYSCEF, see the User's Manual and Frequently Asked Questions on the Website, or contact the court in question or the NYSCEF Resource Center (phone: 646-386-3033; e-mail: efile@nycourts.gov; mailing address: 60 Centre Street, New York, New York 10007).

| Dated: 12/1/2014 | (Signature) | (212) 244-6555 | (Phone) |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|
| Reza Rezvani | (Name) | (212) 244-4757 | (Fax) |
| Asta & Associates, P.C. | (Firm) | para1@astalaw.com | (E-mail) |
| 450 Seventh Ave, Suite 22 | 05 (Address) | | |
| New York, NY 10123 | Make the | | |
| Attorney(s) for Plaintiff | | | |

6/4/14

| Index No. 709139/14 | Year 20 14 | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| SUPREME COURT OF THE COUNTY OF OUTPINS | STATE OF NEW YO | DRK. | |
| JANICE BUCZKOWSKI, | -against- | Plantiff | |
| JET BLUE AIRWAYS COR MANAGEMENT, LLC; | PORATION, and AFC | G/AVPORT | |
| SUM | MONS AND VERIE | ED COMPLAINT | |
| | LAW OFFICES MICHAEL J. PLAINFIL 450.5EVENTH AV SUITE 2205 NEW YORK NIY. TEL (212) 244 | ASTA TE | |
| Pursuant to 22 NYORK 130 111:a, the certifies that, upon information and document are not frivolous; and that obtained 1) rough illegal conduct, or the polyparticipating in the matter or sha claims for personal injury or wrongful Daied | undersigned an attorney belief and reasonable in (2) lithe annexed docum at i tiwas the attorney o | admitted to practice in the gutry (1) the contentions ent is an initiating plead mother persons responsible erefrom and that (ii) if the Coblained in violation of | contained in the annexed ing, (i) the matter was not for the lliegal conduct are |
| Service of a copy of the within Duled: ## # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # | Print Signer's Name, Alternacy | | S. S. Nereby, admitted |
| PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the within is a cert notice of a subtredim the affac of the | ified) true copy of a is clerk of the within no | med Gouri on | 201 |
| A Was an Order of which it sees to be seen of which it see | | will be presented for set me of the pudges of the w at the second of the w | lement to the Ukin-named Cours, |
| | Allo | rney for | LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL J. ASTA |
| | | | \$50 SEVENTH AVENUE SUITE 2205 NEW YORK N.Y 10123 |

EXHIBIT B

FILED: QUEENS COUNTY CLERK 01/19/2015 04:08 PM NYSCEF DOC. NO. 5

INDEX NG. 709139/2014

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/19/2015

| SUPREME COURT OF | THE | STATE | OF | NEW | YORK |
|------------------|-----|-------|----|-----|------|
| COUNTY OF QUEEN | S | | | | |

JANICE BUCZKOWSKI,

Index No.: 709139/14

Plaintiff.

- against -

JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, and AFCO/AVPORT MANAGEMENT, LLC,

VERIFIED ANSWER WITH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND CROSS-CLAIMS

AFCO AVPORTS MANAGEMENT

Defendants.

Defendant, AFCO AVPORTS MANAGEMENT LLC D/B/A AVPORTS i/s/h/a AFCO/AVPORT MANAGEMENT, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "AVPORTS"), by and through its attorneys, PINO & ASSOCIATES, LLP, for its Verified Answer to Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, states as follows:

- 1. Desendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "1" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 2. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "2" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 3. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "3" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
 - 4. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "4" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 5. Defendant denies the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "5" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits that Defendant, AFCO AVPORTS MANAGEMENT LLC D/B/A AVPORTS I/s/h/a AFCO/AVPORT MANAGEMENT, LLC,

NO & ABSOCIATES, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
Westebester Planteial Center
50 Main Sures
White Plaint, NY 10606
Telephone
(P14) 946-0600
Factimilib
(P14) 946-0650

278829.1

was and still is a domestic corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.

- 6. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "6" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 7. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "7" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits that Defendant, AFCO AVPORTS MANAGEMENT LLC D/B/A AVPORTS i/s/h/a AFCO/AVPORT MANAGEMENT, LLC, did business in the State of New York.
- 8. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "8" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 9. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "9" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 10. Defendant denies the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "10" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits they entered into a Terminal Use Agreement with The County of Westchester providing for them to conduct airline operations in the terminal.
- 11. Defendant denies the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "11" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits they entered into a Terminal Use Agreement with The County of Westchester providing for them to conduct airline operations in the terminal.
- 12. Defendant denies the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "12" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits they entered into a Terminal Use Agreement with The County of Westchester providing for them to conduct airline operations in the terminal.

10 & Associates, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
Jostohater Financial Center
50 Main Bircet
White Plaint, NY 10606
Telaphone
(914) 946-0000
Pacsimile
(914) 946-0550

- 13. Defendant denies the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "13" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits they entered into a Terminal Use Agreement with The County of Westchester providing for them to conduct airline operations in the terminal.
- 14. Defendant denies the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "14" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits they entered into a Terminal Use Agreement with The County of Westchester providing for them to conduct airline operations in the terminal.
- 15. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "15" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits that AVPORTS operates certain aspects of the Airport which are not operated by other entities pursuant to contract, subcontract and/or agreement.
- 16. Defendant, AVPORTS can neither confirm nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph "16" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and states that the phrase "controlled the premises" is a legal conclusion and as such is the sole purview of the Court.
- 17. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "17" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits that AVPORTS maintains certain aspects of the Airport which are not maintained by other entities pursuant to contract, subcontract and/or agreement.
- 18. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "18" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits that AVPORTS manages certain aspects of the Airport which are not managed by other entities pursuant to contract, subcontract and/or agreement.
- 19. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph "19" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.

vo & associates, llp

ATTORNEYS AT LAW Vestekester Phannial Center 50 Mein Street White Plains, NY 10606 Tolephona (914) 946-0600 Footinille (914) 946-0550

- 20. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph "20" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21" of the
 Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 22. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "22" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 23. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "23" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 24. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "24" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 25. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "25" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 26. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "26" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 27. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "27" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 28. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "28" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 29. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "29" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 30. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "30" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.

(O & Associates, Lli

ATTORNEYS AT LAW Vestchester Financial Center 50 Main Street White Plains, NY 10006 Telephone 1914) 946-9600 Finosimile (914) 946-0650

- 31. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "31" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 32. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph "32" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 33. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "33" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 34. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "34" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 35. Defendant admits allegations contained in Paragraph "35" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.

AS AND FOR A RESPONSE TO THE PLAINTIFF'S FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 36. Answering Paragraph "36" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, Defendant, AVPORTS, repeats, reiterates and realleges each of its answers to Paragraphs "1" through "35" of the Plaintiffs' Verified Complaint with the same force and effect as if herein set forth in full.
- 37. Defendant denies the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "37" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 38. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "38" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 39. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "39" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 40. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "40" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.

10 & Associates, LLP

ATTOINEYS AT LAW
Vestebester Fipascial Centor
50 Maio Street
While Plains, NY 10006
Telephone
(914) 946-0600
Factinile
(914) 946-0650

41. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "41" of the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

That any and all risks, hazards and dangers were open, obvious and apparent, natural and inherent and known or should have been known by the Plaintiff herein and that Plaintiff assumed all such risks, hazards and defects.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

In the event that Plaintiff recovers a verdict or judgment against this answering Defendant, then the verdict or judgment must be reduced pursuant to CPLR 4545(c) by those amounts which have been, or will, with reasonable certainty, replace or indemnify the Plaintiff in whole or in part, for any past or future claimed economic loss, from any collateral source, such as insurance, Social Security, Workers' Compensation or employee benefit programs.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

Any damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiff was caused or contributed to by her own negligence or culpable conduct and this answering Defendant is, therefore, not liable to the Plaintiff or, alternatively, this Defendant's liability to Plaintiff is partial only and should be reduced in accordance with applicable law.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

Any damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiff were caused by the direct and proximate negligence or intentional conduct of other parties, their agents or employees, or by others unknown at this time over whom this answering Defendant had no control at any time relevant hereto, and in the event this answering Defendant is found liable to Plaintiff, which liability is

yo & associates, llp

ATTORNEYS AT LAW Vestchester Financial Center 50 Main Sures White Platne, NY 19006 Telephone (914) 940-0600 Pacsimilia (914) 946-0650 expressly denied, this answering Defendant will be entitled to indemnification, contribution or apportionment of liability pursuant to applicable law.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

The damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiff were not proximately caused by any negligence or culpable conduct on the part of this answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

This Answering Defendant's liability, if any, is limited under Article 16 of the Civil Practice Laws of the State of New York.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

Plaintiff's damages were caused and brought about by an intervening and superseding cause and were not caused by this answering Defendant, or by a person or entity for whom this answering Defendant is responsible.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

Plaintiff assumed the risk of the alleged damages and on that account this answering Defendant is not liable to the Plaintiff.

AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

This answering Defendant did not create a defective or dangerous condition, had no actual or constructive notice of a dangerous or defective condition, and did not have a reasonable time within which to correct any such alleged condition, or warn others about its existence.

AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

This Answering Defendant, pursuant to §§1411, 1412 and other applicable provisions of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, allege that if the Plaintiff sustained any damages at the time and place alleged in the Complaint, such damages were the result of the negligent conduct of the

10 & associates, llp

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
Voxtchestor Fluancial Center
30 Main Street
White Plains, NY 10606
Telephone
(914) 946-0600
Facsimite
(914) 946-0650

Plaintiff or the result of the Plaintiff's assumption of risk. Should it be found, however, that this Answering Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff, any liability being specifically denied, then this Answering Defendant alleges that if any damages are found they are to be apportioned among all parties hereto according to the degree of responsibility that each is found to have in the occurrence, in proportion to the entire measure of responsibility for the occurrence.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CROSS-CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT, <u>JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION</u>

FIRST: Whatever injuries and damages were sustained by the Plaintiff were directly and proximately caused by the acts, omissions, fault and negligence of Defendant, JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, or their agents, servants and/or employees, without any active or affirmative negligence or culpable conduct on the part of this Answering Defendant contributing thereto.

SECOND: If any verdict or judgment is recovered in this action by the Plaintiff for the damages alleged in the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, such verdict of judgment will have been brought about and caused solely by the reason of the carelessness, negligence, both of omission and commission or other culpable conduct on the part of Defendant, JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, or their agents, servants and/or other employees, and this Answering Defendant is therefore, entitled to full indemnity in an amount equal to the sum of any verdict or judgment from the Defendant, JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, together with costs and disbursements of the within action.

10 & absociates, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
Vestebester Financial Centre
50 Maio Street
White Pistins, NY 19606
Telephone
(914) 946-0600
Fessimile
(914) 946-0650

AS AND FOR A SECOND CROSS-CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT, JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION

THIRD: Whatever injuries and damages were sustained by the Plaintiff were caused in substantial part by the acts, omissions, fault and negligence of the Defendant, JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, or their agents, servants and/or employees.

FOURTH: If any verdict or judgment is recovered in this case by the Plaintiff for the damages alleged in the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, such verdict or judgment will have been brought about in part by the carelessness, negligence, both of omission and commission, or other culpable conduct on the part of the Defendant, JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, or their agents, servants and/or employees, and this Answering Defendant is therefore entitled to contribution from the Defendant, JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, or their agents, servants and/or employees, in accordance with the parties respective culpability.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, an Answer to these Cross-Claims is hereby demanded.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, AFCO AVPORTS MANAGEMENT LLC D/B/A AVPORTS 1/s/h/a AFCO/AVPORT MANAGEMENT, LLC, demands that the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint be dismissed together with the costs of disbursements of the within action, and any other relief which this Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: White Plains, New York January 19, 2015

Brian W. Colistra

PINO & ASSOCIATES, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants

AFCO AVPORTS MANAGEMENT LLC D/B/A AVPORTS Vs/h/a AFCO/AVPORT

MANAGEMENT, LLC Westchester Financial Center

50 Main Street

White Plains, New York 10606

Tel: (914) 946-0600 Fax: (914) 946-0650

to & associates, lly

ATTOIUNEYS AT LAW Vereliciter Financial Coutel 50 Main Street White Pinina, NY 10506 Telephone (914) 945-0600 Facelimite (914) 946-0650 TO: Reza Rezvani, Esq.
LAW OFFICES OF ASTA & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
450 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2205
New York, New York 10123
(212) 244-6555

JET BLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION 27-01 Queens Plaza Long Island City, New York 11101

to & associates, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW Vearchester Financial Center 50 Main Street White Pintus, NY 10606 Telephood (914) 946-0600 Facetimile (914) 046-0650

A'TTORNEY'S VERIFICATION

The undersigned, an attorney, duly admitted to practice law in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms, under the penalties of perjury, the following:

I am a member of the law firm of Pino & Associates, LLP the attorneys of record for Defendant, AFCO AVPORTS MANAGEMENT LLC D/B/A AVPORTS, in the within action. I have read the foregoing AFCO AVPORTS MANAGEMENT LLC D/B/A AVPORTS' VERIFIED ANSWER WITH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND CROSS-CLAIMS and know the contents thereof; that the same is true to my own knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. The reason this Verification is made by me and not by the Defendant is that Defendant resides in a county other than that in which the office of Pino & Associates, LLP is located.

The grounds for my belief as to all matters not stated upon my knowledge are investigations, which I have made or have caused to be made concerning the subject matter of this action.

Dated: White Plains, New York January 19, 2015

BRIAN W. COLISTRA

yo & associates, llp

ATTORNISYS AT LAW
Vestchester Floancial Center
50 Meio Rovet
White Plains, NY 10506
Talephone
(914) 946-0600
Facsimile
(914) 946-0650

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF QUEENS

JANICE BUCZKOWSKI,

Plaintiff,

Index No. 709139/14

-against-

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION and AFCO/AVPORT MANAGEMENT, LLC

VERIFIED ANSWER ON BEHALF OF JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION

Defendants.

Defendant, JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION ("JETBLUE") which has been wrongly referenced herein as "Jet Blue," by and through its attorneys ALIMONTI LAW OFFICES, P.C., as and for an Answer to the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, dated December 1, 2014, ("Complaint") alleges as follows:

- Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph ONE of the Complaint,
- 2. Denies each and every allegation in paragraph TWO of the Complaint and reiterates the proper corporate name of JetBlue as set forth in the corrected caption.
- 3. Admits the allegations in paragraph THREE of the Complaint.
- 4. Admits the allegations in paragraph FOUR of the Complaint.
- 5. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph FIVE of the Complaint.
- 6. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph SIX of the Complaint.
- 7. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph SEVEN of the Complaint.

- 8. Admits the allegations in paragraph EIGHT of the Complaint.
- 9. Denies each and every allegation in paragraph NINE of the Complaint.
- 10. Admits that JETBLUE leases certain portions of the terminal a Westchester County Airport from Westchester County, and that its servants, agents and/or employees managed, maintained and operated in certain areas under the lease, and denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph TEN of the Complaint.
- 11. Admits that JETBLUE leases certain portions of the terminal a Westchester County Airport from Westchester County, and that its servants, agents and/or employees managed, maintained and operated in certain areas under the lease, and denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph ELEVEN of the Complaint.
- 12. Admits that JETBLUE leases certain portions of the terminal a Westchester County Airport from Westchester County, and that its servants, agents and/or employees managed, maintained and operated in certain areas under the lease, and denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph TWELVE of the Complaint.
- 13. Admits that JETBLUE leases certain portions of the terminal a Westchester County Airport from Westchester County, and that its servants, agents and/or employees managed, maintained and operated in certain areas under the lease, denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph THIRTEEN of the Complaint.
- 14. Admits that JETBLUE leases certain portions of the terminal a Westchester County Airport from Westchester County, and that its servants, agents and/or employees managed, maintained and operated in certain areas under the lease, and denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph FOURTEEN of the Complaint.
- Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

- allegations in paragraph FIFTEEN of the Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 16. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph SIXTEEN of the Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 17. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph SEVENTEEN of the Complaint.
- 18. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph EIGHTEEN of the Complaint.
- 19. To the extent directed at JETBLUE, denies each and every allegation in paragraph NINETEEN of the Complaint as vague and ambiguous.
- 20. Objects to the term "jet way" as undefined; without waiving such objection, admits that a boarding apparatus commonly referred to as a "jetbridge" or "jetway" can be found at Westchester County Airport and denies the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph TWENTY of the Complaint.
- 21. Denies the allegations of paragraph TWENTY-ONE of the Complaint, which are unintelligible; a jetway and/or jetbridge can never be a runway.
- 22. Objects as the term "jet way" as undefined and admits that ticketed passengers might use a boarding apparatus commonly referred to as a "jetbridge" or "jetway" at Westchester County Airport and denies the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph TWENTY-TWO of the Complaint.
- 23. Objects to the term "jet way" as undefined, without waiving such objection, admits that passengers may be directed to use a jetbridge on some JETBLUE flights departing from

- and arriving at Westchester County Airport, and denies the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph TWENTY-THREE of the Complaint.
- 24. Admit that a passenger with the ticketed name of Janice Buczkowski was onboard JetBlue Flight 940 from Orlando International Airport to Westchester County Airport ("HPN") on June 7, 2014 and denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph TWENTY-FOUR of the Complaint.
- 25. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph TWENTY-FIVE of the Complaint.
- 26. Denies the allegations in paragraph TWENTY-SIX of the Complaint.
- 27. Admits that JETBLUE leases certain portions of the terminal a Westchester County Airport from Westchester County, and that its servants, agents and/or employees managed, maintained and operated in certain areas under the lease, and denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph TWENTY-SEVEN of the Complaint.
- 28. Admits that Jetblue leases certain portions of the terminal a Westchester County Airport from Westchester County, and that its servants, agents and/or employees managed, maintained and operated in certain areas under the lease, and denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph TWENTY-EIGHT of the Complaint.
- 29. Admits that JETBLUE leases certain portions of the terminal a Westchester County Airport from Westchester County, and that its servants, agents and/or employees managed, maintained and operated in certain areas under the lease, and denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph TWENTY-NINE of the Complaint.
- 30. Admits that JETBLUE leases certain portions of the terminal a Westchester County Airport

- from Westchester County, and that its servants, agents and/or employees managed, maintained and operated in certain areas under the lease, and denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph THIRTY of the Complaint.
- 31. Admits that JETBLUE leases certain portions of the terminal a Westchester County Airport from Westchester County, and that its servants, agents and/or employees managed, maintained and operated in certain areas under the lease, and denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph THIRTY-ONE of the Complaint.
- 32. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph THIRTY-TWO of the Complaint.
- 33. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph THIRTY-THREE of the Complaint.
- 34. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph THIRTY-FOUR of the Complaint.
- 35. Denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph THIRTY-FIVE of the Complaint

ANSWERING "AS AND FOR THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS"

- 36. Denies each and every allegation to the extent directed at JETBLUE in paragraph
 THIRTY-SIX of the Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 37. Denies each and every allegation to the extent directed at JETBLUE in paragraph THIRTY-SEVEN of the Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 38. Denies each and every allegation to the extent directed at JETBLUE in paragraph

- THIRTY-EIGHT of the Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 39. Denies each and every allegation to the extent directed at JETBLUE in paragraph THIRTY-NINE of the Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 40. Denies each and every allegation to the extent directed at JETBLUE in paragraph FORTY of the Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 41. Denies each and every allegation to the extent directed at JETBLUE in paragraph FORTY-ONE of the Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

 Defendant JETBLUE states that the Complaint, and each purported claim for relief thereof, fails to state a claim against JETBLUE upon which relief may be granted.

Second Affirmative Defense

2. Defendant JETBLUE states that, if Plaintiff was injured or damaged as alleged in the Complaint, or as otherwise may be shown, such injuries or damages were solely and proximately caused by the independent, intervening, or superseding acts or omissions of other parties or persons for which JETBLUE is not liable or responsible and over which this Defendant exercised no control.

Third Affirmative Defense

3. Defendant JETBLUE states that the occurrence and damages alleged in the Complaint, if any, were contributed to by the fault, neglect, and want of care of persons or parties other

than JETBLUE for whose acts or omissions JETBLUE is not liable or responsible, and any liability or responsibility for damages sustained by Plaintiff is thereby barred or, in the alternative, should be apportioned in accordance with applicable law.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

4. Defendant JETBLUE states that Plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused or contributed to by Plaintiff's own fault, assumption of risk, and want of due care, and any liability or responsibility for damages sustained by Plaintiff is thereby barred or, in the alternative, should be apportioned in accordance with applicable law.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

5. Defendant JETBLUE states that some or all of the damages claimed by Plaintiff are limited or are not recoverable under the applicable law. Further, in the event damages are to be awarded to Plaintiff, such damages should be reduced or offset by various benefits received under applicable law.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

6. Defendant JETBLUE states that if Plaintiff is found to have suffered injuries, any injuries suffered by Plaintiff were not proximately caused by the accident, and to the extent that the injuries were caused by pre-existing conditions or events other than the accident, JETBLUE should not be liable or responsible for Plaintiff's damages.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

7. Plaintiff failed to mitigate or minimize damages if any, (all alleged damages claimed in the Complaint being expressly denied by Defendant JETBLUE), in that said Plaintiff failed to properly maintain, control, inspect or otherwise conduct her activities and otherwise failed to take adequate measures to minimize damages, expenditures and costs.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

8. Plaintiff has failed to join all necessary parties.

Ninth Affirmative Defense

9. Defendant JETBLUE did not cause and/or create a hazardous condition and JETBLUE did not have actual and/or constructive notice of the condition/s set forth in Plaintiff's Complaint.

Tenth Affirmative Defense

10. The accident alleged in the Complaint was caused by an unforeseeable *force majeure*, beyond the control of the Answering Defendant, and each of them, and their respective employees, agents, servants or representatives, and for which the Answering Defendant, and each of them, are not liable or responsible.

Eleventh Affirmative Defense

11. Defendant JETBLUE states that the accident and any resulting damages were due to an unavoidable accident, sudden emergency, or other conditions or occurrences for which Defendant JETBLUE is not liable or responsible.

Twelfth Affirmative Defense

12. The liability of each Answering Defendant to Plaintiff, which liability is expressly denied, must be apportioned pursuant to CPLR Article 16.

Thirteenth Affirmative Defense

13. Plaintiff has not set forth the prima facte elements of a public or private nuisance.

Fourteenth Affirmative Defense

14. The venue chosen by plaintiff is improper, inconvenient, and subject to change of venue pursuant to CPLR 510.

VERIFICATION

| STATE OF NEW YORK |) |
|-----------------------|------|
| |)SS. |
| COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER |) |

JOY M. POSNER, an attorney admitted to practice in the State of New York, states:

I am an associate of the law firm ALIMONTI LAW OFFICES, P.C. attorneys of records for Defendant JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION.

I have read the foregoing Verified Answer with Affirmative Defenses and know the contents thereof, and the same are true to my knowledge, except as to those matters stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. The grounds for my beliefs as to those matters not stated upon my knowledge are investigations conducted and information received as an attorney and the contents of our file on this matter.

The reason that this verification is made by your Affirmant and not by the above named Defendant is that the above Defendant does not maintain its principal places of business and/or reside within Westchester County, wherein your Affirmant maintains her offices.

Joy M. Porus (FPA)

Alimonti Law Offices 200 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 304 White Plains, New York 10601 Phone: 914-948-8044

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF QUEENS

JANICE BUCZKOWSKI,

Plaintiff,

Index No. 709139/14

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

-against-

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION and AFCO/AVPORT MANAGEMENT, LLC,

Defendants.

Cathlene Campbell, being duly sworn, says:

I am not a party to the above action, am over the age of 18 years and reside in Bedford, NY.

That on December 30, 2014, I served Defendant JetBlue's Verified Answer with Affirmative Defenses upon the following:

To: Reza Rezvani, Esq. Law Offices of Asia & Associates, P.C. Attorney for the Plaintiff 450 Seventh Ave., Suite 2205 New York, NY 10123 (212) 244-6555

via service of mail by depositing a true copy of the aforesaid documents in a postpaid properly addressed envelope in a post office or official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service.

CATHLENE CAMPBELL

Sworn to before me this 30th day of December, 2014

Notary Public /

TONI ANN GIANSANTE
Notary Public, State Of New York
No. 01 GI6069096
Qualified in Westchester County
Commission Expires January 22, 20

| | E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK OF QUEENS | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------|
| JANICE E | BUÇZKOWSKI, | |
| -ag | Plaintiff, INDEX NO. 709139/14 gainst- | |
| | AIRWAYS CORPORATION and PORT MANAGEMENT, LLC, | |
| | Defendants. | |
| VE | CRIFIED ANSWER WITH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION | - |
| Pursuant and belief frivolous. | to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned attorney certifies that upon information, and reasonable inquiry, the contentions in the annexed document are not JOY POSNER, ESQ. (Signature Rule 130-1.1a) |)n |
| And the second function of the second | ALIMONTI LAW OFFICE, P.C. Attorneys for Defendants JETBLUE AIRWAYS 200 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 304 White Plains, New York 10601 (914) 948-8044 | - |
| PLEASE TA | KE NOTICE | - |
| [] Notice of Entry | That the within is a (certified) true copy of a entered in the office of the clerk of the within Court on , 20 | |
| [] Notice of | That an Order of which the within is s true copy will be presented to the Hon. One of the Judges of the within named Court, at on , 20 , at m. | |

Settlement

TO:

Dated: White Plains, New York

EXHIBIT C

February 24, 2017

ովիշիկեկՈւմուիՈիՈլիՈրՈրաիՈւրիՈրևիհեր

Asta & Associates Reza Rezvani

130

450 7th Ave Ste 2205 New York, NY 10123-2205

RE:

Injured Party: Janice Buczkowski

Date of injury:

Group:

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., #000189416

File #:

29607299

Dear Reza Rezvani,

This letter will formally notify you that UnitedHealthcare Services has retained Optum to pursue a recovery for medical benefits that have been or may be paid by them on behalf of Janice Buczkowski for the treatment of injuries sustained arising out of the above captioned injury. Please contact us prior to settlement to obtain the total amount of paid benefits.

100

The plan is set up under the federal Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), 88 Stat. 829, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §1001 et seq.

Our client asserts a subrogation and/or reimbursement interest in this matter under applicable law. Please notify us immediately if you have already obtained a recovery from some other party. Once settlement funds come into your possession, you should hold them in trust until such time as our client's interest has been severed from the interest of your client.

Please contact me to discuss this matter. Also, please direct all future correspondence relating to our client's subrogation/reimbursement rights to my attention.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Blunt, Analyst

Phone: 952.205.0604 Fax: 800.842.8810 E-mail: Jennifer.Blunt@Optum.com

EXHIBIT D



April 8, 2017

ASTA & ASSOCIATES REZA REZVANI 450 7TH AVENUE SUITE 2205 NEW YORK, NY 10123

RE:

Injured Party:

Janice Buczkowski

Date of Injury:

6/7/2014

Group:

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., #000189416

File#:

29607299

Dear Reza Rezvani,

This letter is a follow up to our prior communications. Optum has been retained for the above group to pursue a recovery for the medical benefits that have been paid arising out of the above captioned injury.

To date, medical benefits in the amount of \$69,774.20 have been paid on behalf of Janice Buczkowski for the treatment of injuries sustained. Please contact us prior to settlement to obtain the total amount of paid benefits.

The health plan is self-funded and is governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. §1001, et seq. NY State subrogation laws affecting self-funded ERISA plans are preempled by ERISA and, accordingly, do not apply to bar the Plan's recovery rights. 29 U.S.C. 1144(a); FMC Corp. v. Holliday, 111 S. Ct. 403, 407-08 (1990). New York's General Obligations Law §§ 5-101; 5-335 does not apply to this matter. The plan provisions are clear that the plan has a first and full right to any recovery and is not required to reduce the amount.

Please advise Optum of the current status of this matter. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vicki Kucaba, Senior Analyst

Phone: 612.632.6780 Fax: 800.842.8810

E-mail: Vicki.Kucaba@Optum.com

| _ |
|---|
| |
| a |
| - |
| |

Claimant: BUCZKOWSKI, JANICE **Med Payment Summary** Date of Incident: 06/07/2014 Last Update: 04/03/2017 File Number: 29607299

Analyst: Vicki Kucaba

Please send all payments to:

Chicago, IL 60675-6019 75 Remittance Drive Suite 6019 Optum

Tax ID # 41-1858498

Total Paid: \$69,774.20 Remaining Balance: \$69,774.20 Created On: 04/08/2017 Total Billed: \$170,847.30

| First Date o Service | first Date of Claim Number Service | Provider | Amount Billed Amount Paid | Amount Paid | Paid Ca Date | apitated | Capitated* Diagnosis Codes |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| 06/07/2014 | 460979328801 | GREENWICH HOSPITAL | \$2,207.68 | \$1,939.49 | 10/16/2014 | ę | 859.2, 812.00, 859.2, 859.2 |
| 06/07/2014 | 467217473401 | GREENWICH RADIOLOGICAL GROUP | 840.00 | \$11.63 | 06/25/2014 | 욷 | 812,00, 812,00 |
| 06/07/2014 | 462247181701 | PORTCHESTER-RYE-RYE BROOK EMS | \$570.00 | \$513.00 | 07/06/2014 | ž | 959.2, 959.2 |
| DE/10/2014 | 460569549201 | MICHAEL A HAWKS | \$388.00 | \$206.36 | 06/12/2014 | Š | 812.09, 812.09 |
| 06/12/2014 | 461552634001. | RADIOLOGY SPECIALISTS OF FLOR | \$31.02 | \$14.00 | 06/20/2014 | Ž | 812.20, 812.20, |
| | | | | | | | V45.89 |
| 06/12/2014 | 461936592801 | JASON ACOSTA | \$140.03 | \$86.54 | 06/30/2014 | 2 | 812,00 , 812,00 , 338 18 |
| A +00/04/00 | 600000000000 | STSCOOK NOSES | \$280.00 | \$172.22 | 06/30/2014 | Š | 812.00 , 338.18 . |
| V6/12/2/14 | 401930382802 | ASOUN ACCOUNT | 00:00 | | | ? | 812.00 |
| 06/12/2014 | 461936592803 | JASON ACOSTA | \$2,812,25 | \$1,152.85 | 06/30/2014 | 2 | 812.00, 812.00, |
| *************************************** | *************************************** | ac is ac stall reliable woo lotere | 631 03 | \$44.00 | 08/26/2014 | Ž | 812.21 812.21 |
| 06/12/2014 | 462001/49001 | RACIOLOGI SPECIALISIS OF PLOIS | 2017 | | | ! | 812.01, 812.01 |
| 06/12/2014 | 462159776501 | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | \$57,647.88 | \$21,675.60 | 06/30/2014 | ş | 812.09, 812.08, |
| | | | | | | | 358.00, 244.9 |
| 06/12/2014 | 463362894301 | CENTRAL FL HOSPITALIST PARTNE | \$575,00 | \$251.57 | 07/04/2014 | ž | 818.0, B18.0 |
| 06/12/2014 | 463570893201 | MICHAEL A HAWKS | \$2,445,00 | \$1,237.07 | 07/08/2014 | 2 | 821.00, 821.00 |
| 06/12/2014 | 463570897801 | FIRST RESPONSE ORTHOPAEDIC GR | \$611.00 | \$134.37 | 07/08/2014 | ş | 621.00, 821.00 |
| 06/18/2014 | 461727085201 | HARVEY W SCHEFSKY | \$225.00 | \$89.12 | 06/21/2014 | Ş | B18.0, 818.0. |
| | | | | | | | |
| 06/20/2014 | 318542372803 | FIRSTAT NURSING SERVICES | \$80.00 | \$80.00 | 07/15/2014 | ĝ | 812.00, 812.00 |
| 06/23/2014 | 318666931101 | FIRSTAT NURSING SERVICES | \$280.00 | \$280.00 | 07/18/2014 | Ş | 812.00, 812.00 |
| 06/24/2014 | 462251299701 | MICHAEL A HAWKS | \$105.00 | \$35.50 | 06/26/2014 | 2 | 812,09, 812,09 |
| 06/26/2014 | 463641634101 | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | \$1,146,00 | \$430.87 | 07/08/2014 | ž | 812.09, 812.09, |
| | | | • | | | | V57.21 , 812.09 |
| 07/03/2014 | 463410506601 | ZYNEX MEDICAL INC | \$38.54 | \$19.27 | 07/04/2014 | S | 723.1, 723.1 |
| 07/03/2014 | 467657710401 | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | 53,733.00 | \$1,489.58 | 08/07/2014 | 2 | 812.09, V57.21, |
| | | | | | | | 812,09,812,09 |
| 08/01/2014 | 471432817001 | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | \$3,711.00 | \$1,480.80 | 09/05/2014 | 2 | 812.09, V57.21, |
| | | | | | | | 812.09, V57.21 |
| 08/03/2014 | 467567753201 | ZYNEX MEDICAL INC | \$38.54 | \$19.27 | 08/06/2014 | ŝ | 723.1, 723.1 |
| 08/05/2014 | 467597195401 | MICHAEL A HAWKS | \$105.00 | \$44.37 | 08/07/2014 | ટ્ટ | 812.09, 812.09 |
| A102/20/80 | 475953861501 | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | \$2,522.00 | \$1,005.36 | 10/10/2014 | 2 | 812.09, V57.21, |
| | | | • | | | | 812.09, V57.21 |
| 09/03/2014 | 471292812201 | ZYNEX MEDICAL INC | \$38.54 | \$19.27 | 09/04/2014 | Ş | 723.1, 723.1 |
| 09/30/2014 | 474836423501 | MICHAEL A HAWKS | \$226.00 | \$135.05 | 10/02/2014 | 2 | 812.00, 812.00, 726.2 |
| 10/02/2014 | 479479853801 | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | \$2,817.00 | \$1,124.08 | 11/06/2014 | Z | B12.00, V57.21, |
| | | | | | | | 812.00, V57.21 |

Page2

| Please send all payments to: | • |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Med Payment Summary | Claimant: BUCZKOWSKI, JANICE |

Date of Incident: 06/07/2014 Last Update: 04/03/2017 File Number: 29607299 Analyst: Vicki Kucaba

75 Remittance Drive Suite 6019

Chicago, IL 60675-6019

Total Paid: \$69,774.20 Remaining Balance: \$69,774.20 Total Billed: \$170,847.30 Created On: 04/08/2017



Tax ID # 41-1858498

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------|
| Capitated* Diagnosis Codes | | 723.1 , 723.1 | 723.1, 723.1 | 812.00, V57.21, | 612.00 , VSr.21 | 726.2 | 812.00 V57.21. | 812.00, 812.00 | V72.84 | V72.84 | 812,09, 812,09, | 120.2 | | 840.7 | 726.0 , 727.00 | | V54.01 , 840.7 | V52.8 , V43.61 | 840.7 | 338.18 | 338_18 , 840.7 | 840.7 | 726.9, 727.00 | 726.2 | V54.01, 840.7 | 840.7 , 777c 2)//c./ 84 | 840.7 | 726.2 , V54.81 | 880.00, 880.00 | 812.00, 812.00, | 401.9, 358.01 | 698.9 | 996.74 | 358,00 , 996,74 | 17.000 | 358.00, 996.74 |
| apitated" [| | ON ON | | 2 | () | • | 8 | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | | 2 | | 9 2 | ž | | S. C | 2 | | ş | | 2 | S | | | | | | ž | 2 | 2 2 | 2 |
| Paid C | | 10/07/2014 | 11/05/2014 | 12/05/2014 | 440000 | 11/5/11/5/11 | 01/07/2015 | | 12/14/2014 | 12/08/2014 | 12/12/2014 | 4217317044 | | 06/11/2015 | | 01/29/2015 | | 12/31/2014 | 01/05/2015 | | 01/05/2015 | 01/16/2015 | | 01/29/2015 | | 02/17/2015 | 02/13/2015 | | 02/12/2015 | 04/24/2015 | | 04/09/2015 | 01/26/2016 | 200022000 | 00/47/0046 | 0.0211120 |
| Amount Paid | | \$19.27 | \$19.27 | \$511.97 | 9445 05 | 0000 | \$490.40 | | \$3,00 | \$13.06 | \$136.85 | 633.08 | | \$4,130.86 | | \$19,420.56 | , | \$18.15 | 51,883,67 | | \$260.10 | \$308.63 | | \$378.62 | | \$360.00 | 00.0772 | | \$23.75 | \$51.27 | | \$61.97 | \$4,498.21 | 90 170 74 | F700 47 | 5/42.13 |
| Amount Billed Amount Paid | | \$38.54 | 538.54 | \$1,283,00 | 00 000 | 9220,00 | \$1,229.00 | • | \$9.00 | \$113.00 | \$182,00 | 6180 00 | 200 | \$15,283.00 | | \$19,420,66 | • | \$31.02 | \$3.850.00 | | \$340.00 | \$3,821.00 | | \$30,201.44 | | \$360.00 | 8270.00 | | \$23.76 | \$174.00 | | \$153.00 | \$4,498.21 | 00000 | 9700.50 | 4/88.13 |
| Provider | | ZYNEX MEDICAL INC | ZYNEX MEDICAL INC | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | | BALAN A VICEARIOUS | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | | HARVEY W SCHEFSKY | LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AME | BRIAN K VICKARYOUS | G COURS AGO CENTED | | BRIAN K VICKARYOUS | | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | | RADIOLOGY SPECIALISTS OF FLOR | INSEPHI RILEY ANESTHESIA ASS | | JOSEPH L RILEY ANESTHESIA ASS | FIRST RESPONSE ORTHOPAEDIC GR | | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | | LEGACY HOME HEALTH | HE HEALTH | | EDGEPARK MEDICAL SUPPLIES INC | HARVEY W SCHEFSKY | | HARVEY W SCHEFSKY | FLORIDA HOSP MED CENTER | | בייים מייים מיים מייים מ | FLORIDA HOSP WED CENTER |
| f Claim Number | | 475377890901 | 479227253101 | 483628096401 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 461888899901 | 488050564001 | | 483945107601 | 484017838001 | 484547192301 | 1000000000000 | 200000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 322055691901 | | 486374379201 | . ! | 487134787901 | 487259884901 | | 487259664902 | 487805207901 | | 489951298901 | | 493126923501 | 40342602504 | 10000001001 | 453276430601 | 502553628601 | | 500821788501 | 501476089001 | | 500340324701 | 577491749601 |
| First Date of Claim Nu | Sevice | 10/03/2014 | 11/03/2014 | 11/04/2014 | | 112772414 | 12/02/2014 | | 12/04/2014 | 12/04/2014 | 12/11/2014 | * ********** | F10211101 | 12/17/2014 | | 12/17/2014 | | 12/17/2014 | 12/17/2014 | | 12/17/2014 | 12/17/2014 | | 12/17/2014 | | 12/20/2014 | 42/20/2014 | F1 0350301 | 12/26/2014 | 02/26/2015 | | 04/06/2015 | 04/08/2015 | | 04/00/2013 | 04/08/2015 |

tal Billed: \$170,847.30 Fotal Paid: \$69,774.20 g Balance: \$69,774.20 eated On: 04/08/2017

| | | Total P | Tota | Creat | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|---------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | Capitated* Diagnosis Codes | 729.1 | 719.41, 358.00 | 729.1 | 723.1 , 722.4 | 722.4 | 722,4 | 722.4 | 722.4 | 722.4 | M54,2, M54.2 | M54.2, M54.2 | M54.2, M54.2 | M54.2 . M54.2 . | G70,00 , 110 | M78.602, M79.602 | |
| | | | | | apitated* | 2 | • | 2 2 | 2 | _S | S _O | g | 2 | S | Š | Š | 운 | Š | | ş | |
| ts fo: | | 119 | | | Paid C Date | 07/24/2015 | | 07/28/2015 | 06/2//2015 | 08/28/2015 | 09/03/2015 | 09/18/2015 | 09/18/2016 | 09/30/2015 | 10/15/2015 | 10/22/2015 | 11/02/2015 | 01/29/2016 | | 08/04/2016 | |
| Please send all payments to: | nce Drive | Chicago, IL 60675-6019 | 0070107 | 1 ax ID # 4 I-1000480 | Amount Paid | \$269,44 | | 54.10 | 9189.40 | \$50.00 | \$100.00 | \$50.00 | \$50.00 | \$100.00 | \$50.00 | \$50,00 | \$50.00 | \$93.87 | | \$226.09 | \$69,774.20 |
| Please sen | Optum 75 Remittance Drive Suite 6019 | Chicago, | ÷ | TAX ID # 7 | Amount Billed Amount Paid | \$285.00 | | \$62.00 | 9330.00 | \$221.00 | \$286.00 | \$162.00 | \$162.00 | \$296.00 | \$162,00 | \$148.00 | \$162,00 | \$225,00 | | \$300.00 | Subtotals:\$170,847,30 |
| nary | J. JANICE 014 | | | | Provider | MICHAEL THOMAS PULLEY | | LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AME | BRIAN K VICKARYOUS | CORA HEALTH SERVICES INC | KRISTY J MAGEE | | MICHAEL THOMAS PULLEY | Subtot |
| Med Payment Summ | Claimant: BUCZKOWSKI, JA Date of Incident: 06/07/2014 Last Update: 04/03/2017 | File Number: 2300/233 Analyst: Vicki Kucaba | | | First Date of Claim Number Service | 514090034201 | | 513893454401 | 518022596801 | 518360913401 | 519073102801 | 520727224101 | 520727224001 | 522067943601 | 524142381001 | 525020345801 | 526324796201 | 580654064301 | | 608335846601 | |
| Med Pay | Claimant: Date of In Last Upda | Analyst: V | • | | First Date of | 07/16/2015 | | 07/17/2015 | 08/20/2015 | 08/25/2015 | 08/27/2015 | 09/10/2015 | 09/14/2015 | 09/17/2015 | 10/05/2015 | 10/19/2015 | 10/23/2015 | 01/25/2018 | | 07/22/2016 | |

EXHIBIT E

LAW OFFICES OF

ASTA & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

450 7TH AVENUE, SUITE 2205

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10123 www.astalaw.com

email: masta@astalaw.com

TELEPHONE: (212) 244-6555 FACSIMILE: (212) 244-4757

MICHAEL J. ASTA, ESO.* ELIOT S. BICKOFF, ESO.* REZA REZVANI, ESO.

*ADMITTED IN N.Y. & N.J.

April 10, 2017

Optum

Attn: Vicki Kucaba, Senior Analyst

11000 Optum Circle

MN102-0300

Eden Prairie, MN 55344

JPMorgan Chase & Co. Attn: Legal Deparment 10 South Dearborn Mail Code IL1-0287 Chicago, IL 60618

Re: Janice Buczkowski

Your reference: 29607299

Group: JPMorgan Chase & Co. 000189416

D/A: 6/7/2014

Dear Sir/Madam:

In response to the letter of Optum of April 8, 2017, please provide us with further information regarding your companies claim:

- 1. The plan's identification and 3-digit plan number;
- 2. A copy of the policy which states the right to reimbursement of a portion of any settlement in this claim;
- 3. A copy of the updated summary plan descriptions in effect for the last three years;
- A copy of the summary annual reports for the last three years;

NEW JERSEY

744 BROAD STREET, 18²² Fl. NEWARK, N.J. 07102 TEL: (973) 785-0549 FAX: (976) 795-0558

BROOKLYN

44 COURT STREET, SUITE 1217 BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11201

BRONX

1200 WATERS PLACE, SUITE 105 BRONX, N.Y. 10461

- 5. A copy of the bargaining agreement, trust agreement, contract or other instrument under which the plan was established and all amendments since the establishment date until the present;
- 6. If your plan is insured, partly insured, or provides stop-loss coverage, a copy of the documents which engage your insurance carrier and all policies of insurance;
- 7. A copy of your series 5500 returns and accompanying schedules for the last three years;
- 8. A copy of all written policies, memoranda, minutes of meetings and any other written documentation addressing reimbursement or subrogation, enforcement of the same, or waiver of the same from the date of establishment of the plan until present.
- A complete Claims Detail Report.

As you know, 29 U.S.C. § 1132[c] requires ERISA plan information to be provided within thirty days from the receipt of the request. Failure to supply the above requested information within thirty days of the date of this letter may subject the Plan Administrator and/or you to a penalty of \$100.00 per day and other costs, including attorney's fees. Further, if the information and materials is not provided within thirty days, then we will presume that the plan is not an ERISA plan.

You are also reminded that pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1140 it is unlawful for you to discharge, fine, suspend, expel, discipline or discriminate against your employee for exercising any right to which he/she is entitled to under the provisions of the plan.

In addition, we need to obtain from you written documentation that reimbursement/subrogation money you have received from plan participants and beneficiaries in the past has been kept segregated from other assets of the plan sponsor, employer, third-party administrator and other fiduciaries of the plan. This is to insure that you will deal with reimbursement money from this case in a manner that complies with ERISA's fiduciary standards.

Receiving written assurance of how the reimbursement monies will be disposed of together with receiving information about how such monies you have received in the past have been paid out is an absolute pre-requisite to any discussion of payment of any reimbursement interest. The Supreme Court has held that involvement, even by non-fiduciaries such as Mr. Colon, and myself, in activities that are a breach of the fiduciary duties associated with an ERISA plan subjects individuals to liability under ERISA.

Harris Trust & Savings Bank v. Salomon Smith Barney, 530 U.S. 238, 120 S.Ct. 2180, 147 L.Ed.2d 187 (2000).

Finally, ERISA is quite specific in stating that only Plan Administrators or other clearly identified fiduciaries have the authority to bind the Plan or its agents.

Consequently, I need to obtain from you evidence that you or some other person have

authority to act on behalf of, and bind, the ERISA Plan on whose behalf you claim to act. In addition, any and all communications in the future concerning the obligations you believe Mr. Colon has in this matter should be directed to me.

Please be guided accordingly.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Very truly

Michael L Asta, Esq

MJA/mg

EXHIBIT F



11000 Optum Circle MN102-0300 Eden Prairie, MN 55344

April 26, 2017

 2795

Asta & Associates Michael L Asta Esq 450 7th Ave Ste 2205 New York, NY 10123-2205

RE:

Injured Party:

Janice Buczkowski

Date of Injury:

6/7/2014

Group/Client:

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., #000189416

File #:

29607299

Dear Michael L Asta, ESQ,

This letter is a follow up to our prior communications. Optum has been retained for the above group to pursue a recovery for the medical benefits that have been paid arising out of the above captioned injury.

To date, medical benefits in the amount of \$69,889.26have been paid on behalf of for the treatment of injuries sustained on the above captioned. The updated itemized summary is attached. The amount may increase.

Please note that the health plan is self-funded and is governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. §1001, et seq.

Optum has received your request for plan documents. It is our position that section 104(b) of ERISA [29 U.S.C. sec. 1024(b)] does not apply to Optum, since Optum is not the plan administrator. See, e.g., Nechis v. Oxford Health Plans, Inc., 421 F.3d 96, 104 (2d Cir. 2005). Some of the information you may have requested may not be required to be supplied under ERISA in response to a request for plan documents under ERISA Section 104, 29 U.S.C. §1024. Nevertheless, without waiving any objections or defenses, and solely as an accommodation, we are enclosing copies of the following documents:

- Governing Plan Documents
- Medical Summary
- 5500

We believe this information is sufficient to determine the Plan's subrogation and reimbursement rights. If you have any questions about this correspondence or enclosures, please contact the analyst below as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Vicki Kucaba, Senior Analyst

Phone: 612.632.6780 Fax: 800.842.8810

E-mail: Vicki.Kucaba@Optum.com



EXHIBIT G

| | Form 5500 | Annual Return/Reporting form is required to be filed form | | | OMB Nos. 1210-0110 1210-0089 |
|------------------|---|--|---|------------------------------|---|
| | epartment of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service | and 4065 of the Employee Retirer sections 6047(e), 6057(b), and 608 | ment Income Security | Act of 1974 (ERISA) and | 2014 |
| · E | Department of Labor Employee Benefits Security Administration | Complete all the instruct | entries in accordar tions to the Form 55 | nce with 500. | |
| Pension | on Benefit Guaranty Corporation | _ | | | This Form is Open to Public Inspection_ |
| Part I | Annual Report Ide | entification Information | | | |
| | ndar plan year 2014 or fisc | al plan year beginning 01/01/2014 | | and ending 12/31/ | 2014 |
| | return/report is for: | a multiemployer plan; | participating | employer information in ac | g this box must attach a list of cordance with the form instructions); or |
| | | X a single-employer plan; | a DFE (speci | ify) | |
| B This | return/report is: | the first return/report; | the final retur | rn/report; | |
| | | an amended return/report; | a short plan y | year return/report (less tha | n 12 months). |
| C If the | plan is a collectively-barga | lined plan, check here | | . , | |
| D Chec | k box if filing under: | X Form 5558; | automatic exi | tension; | the DFVC program; |
| | | special extension (enter description | on) | | |
| Part | II Basic Plan Info | rmation—enter all requested inform | ation | | |
| 1a Nan | ne of plan | | | EEO | 1b Three-digit plan 502 number (PN) |
| THE JPI | VIOHGAN CHASE HEALT | H & INCOME PROTECTION PLAN FO | H ACTIVE EMPLOT | | 1c Effective date of plan 04/01/1955 |
| 2a Plar JPMOR | 2b Employer Identification Number (EIN) 13-4994650 | | | | |
| | | | | | 2c Plan Sponsor's telephone number 302-455-3494 |
| 34TH FL | RTY STREET LOOR MAIL CODE NY1-AS DRK, NY 10005-1401 | 341 | | | 2d Business code (see instructions) 523110 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| I Inder n | enatties of nectury and other | incomplete filing of this return/repor penalties set forth in the instructions, | I declare that I have | examined this return/report | t, including accompanying schedules, |
| stateme | nts and attachments, as we | Il as the electronic version of this return | n/report, and to the b | est of my knowledge and | belief, it is true, correct, and complete. |
| SIGN | Filed with authorized/valid | electronic signature. | 10/14/2015 | BERNADETTE J BRAN | OSKY |
| HERE | Signature of plan admir | ustrator | Date | Enter name of individua | l signing as plan administrator |
| · | | | | | |
| SIGN HERE | Ole service of second second | -les aparest | Date | Enter name of individua | signing as employer or plan sponsor |
| | Signature of employer/p | nan sponsor | Date | Litter Harne of Individua | againing as omployed of plant opened |
| SIGN | | | | | |
| HERE | Signature of DFE | | Date | Enter name of individua | I signing as DFE |
| Prepare | 's name (including firm nar | ne, if applicable) and address (include | | or) (optional) | Preparer's telephone number |
| | | | | | (optional) |
| | | | | | , |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | • 1 | | | | |
| For Pap | erwork Reduction Act No | tice and OMB Control Numbers, see | the instructions fo | r Form 5500. | Form 5500 (2014) v. 140124 |

| | Form 5500 (2014) | Page | 2_ | | | |
|----------------------|---|--------------------|---------|---|-------------|-----------------------|
| За | Plan administrator's name and address Same as Plan Sponsor | · | | | • | nistrator's EIN |
| BE JP 28 34 | NEFITS DIRECTOR OF JPMORGAN CHASE BERNADETTE J. BRANOSKY MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. LIBERTY STREET TH FLOOR MAIL CODE - NY1-A341 W YORK, NY 10005-1401 | | | | 3c Admi | nistrator's telephone |
| 4 | If the name and/or EIN of the plan sponsor has changed since the last return/report: | report filed for | his p | lan, enter the name, | 4b EIN | |
| а | Sponsor's name | | | | 4c PN | |
| 5 | Total number of participants at the beginning of the plan year | | | | 5 | 160236 |
| 6 | Number of participants as of the end of the plan year unless otherwise stated 6a(2), 6b, 6c, and 6d). | (welfare plans | com | plete only lines 6a(1), | | |
| a(` |) Total number of active participants at the beginning of the plan year | | | | 6a(1) | 158091 |
| | Total number of active participants at the end of the plan year | | | | 6a(2) | 146963 |
| b | Retired or separated participants receiving benefits | | | | | 2453 |
| С | Other retired or separated participants entitled to future benefits | | | | 1 1 | 0 |
| ď | Subtotal. Add lines 6a(2), 6b, and 6c. | | | | . 6d | 149416 |
| е | Deceased participants whose beneficiaries are receiving or are entitled to rec | ceive benefits | | *************************************** | . 6e | |
| f | Total. Add lines 6d and 6e | ************** | | *************************************** | . 6f | |
| g | Number of participants with account balances as of the end of the plan year (complete this item) | only defined co | ontrib | ution plans | . 6g | |
| h | Number of participants that terminated employment during the plan year with less than 100% vested | accrued benef | its th | at were | . 6h | |
| 7 | Enter the total number of employers obligated to contribute to the plan (only r | multiemployer (| olans | complete this item) | <u> 7</u> | |
| | If the plan provides pension benefits, enter the applicable pension feature co | des from the Li | st of | Plan Characteristics Cod | | |
| ь | If the plan provides welfare benefits, enter the applicable welfare feature code 4A 4B 4D 4E 4G 4H 4L 4Q | | | • | | Structions. |
| 9a | Plan funding arrangement (check all that apply) | 9b Plan ber (1) | nefit a | arrangement (check all the Insurance | at apply) | |
| | (1) X Insurance (2) Code section 412(e)(3) insurance contracts | (2) | | Code section 412(e)(3) | insurance | contracts |
| | (3) X Trust | (3) | | Trust | | |
| | (4) Goperal assets of the S000501 | (4) | | General assets of the s | | ed (See instructions) |
| 10 | Check all applicable boxes in 10a and 10b to indicate which schedules are a | | | | ibol allaoi | (accompany) |
| 8 | R Pension Schedules | b Genera | _ | | 1 | |
| | (1) R (Retirement Plan Information) | (1) | X | H (Financial infor | | |
| | (2) MB (Multiemployer Defined Benefit Plan and Certain Money | (2) | Ĥ | I (Financial Information A) A (Insurance Info | | mai Plan) |
| | Purchase Plan Actuarial Information) - signed by the plan actuary | (3) (4) | XX | C (Service Provide | | ation) |
| | C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | (5) | | D (DFE/Participa | ting Plan I | nformation) |
| | (3) SB (Single-Employer Defined Benefit Plan Actuarial Information) - signed by the plan actuary | (6) | | G (Financial Tran | saction S | chedules) |

| Form 550 | 00 (2014) Page 3 |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | |
| Part III | Form M-1 Compliance Information (to be completed by welfare benefit plans) |
| 11a if the plan 2520.101-2.) | provides welfare benefits, was the plan subject to the Form M-1 filing requirements during the plan year? (See instructions and 29 CFR |
| If "Yes" is check | ked, complete lines 11b and 11c. |
| 11b is the plan | o currently in compliance with the Form M-1 filing requirements? (See instructions and 29 CFR 2520.101-2.) |
| 11c Enter the | Receipt Confirmation Code for the 2014 Form M-1 annual report. If the plan was not required to file the 2014 Form M-1 annual report, pt Confirmation Code for the most recent Form M-1 that was required to be filed under the Form M-1 filing requirements. (Failure to sceipt Confirmation Code will subject the Form 5500 filing to rejection as incomplete.) |
| Receipt Confirm | nation Code |

| SCHEDULE | Α | Insuranc | e Information | ו | | Ol | MB No. 1210-0110 | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| (Form 5500 Department of the Treas Internal Revenue Servi | iury | This schedule is required Employee Retirement Inco | to be filed under section to be filed under sections | on 104 of th 74 (ERISA) | 3 | | 2014 | |
| Department of Labor Employee Benefits Security Adi | | ▶ File as an at | tachment to Form 55 | 00. | | | and to Ocean to Dublic | |
| Pension Benefit Guaranty Co | rporation | Insurance companies ar pursuant to El | re required to provide to RISA section 103(a)(2) | he informat | on | Inis Fo | rm is Open to Public Inspection | |
| For calendar plan year 20 | 14 or fiscal pla | п year beginning 01/01/2014 | | and en | ding 12/ | /31/2014 | | |
| A Name of plan THE JPMORGAN CHASE EMPLOYEES | HEALTH & IN | NCOME PROTECTION PLAN FOR | RACTIVE | | e-digit number (PN | u) > | 502 | |
| C Plan sponsor's name a JPMORGAN CHASE BAN | IK, NATIONAL | ASSOCIATION | | 13-499 | 4650 | ation Number | | |
| Part I Information on a separat | on Concert e Schedule A. | ning Insurance Contract C Individual contracts grouped as a | Coverage, Fees, a unit in Parts II and III | nd Comi can be repo | nissions orted on a si | Provide Infor ingle Schedul | mation for each contract e A. | |
| 1 Coverage Information: | | | | · | | | | |
| (a) Name of insurance ca | | • | • | | | | | |
| HIP HEALTH PLAN OF N | NEW YORK | · | (e) Approximate n | imher of | | Policy or | contract year | |
| (b) EIN | (c) NAIC code | (d) Contract or identification number | persons covered a policy or contrac | t end of | (f) | From | (g) To | |
| 13-1828429 | policy or contract year | | | | | | | |
| 2 Insurance fee and com- descending order of the | mission inform amount paid. | ation. Enter the total fees and tota | l commissions paid. L | ist in line 3 | the agents, | brokers, and | other persons in | |
| | | missions paid | | (b) To | tal amount | of fees paid | | |
| | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 3 Persons receiving com | | fees. (Complete as many entries a | | | lana as face | wore pold | | |
| | (a) Name | and address of the agent, broker, o | or other person to who | n commiss | ons or lees | were paid | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | -d bass | Fees | and other commission | ns paid | | | | |
| (b) Amount of sales ar commissions pa | | (c) Amount | | (d) Purpose |) | | (e) Organization code | |
| • | | | | | | | | |
| | (a) Name | and address of the agent, broker, o | or other person to who | m commiss | ons or fees | were paid | | |
| | | | | | | • | | |
| (h) Amount of pales == | nd base | Fees | and other commission | ns paid | | | | |
| (b) Amount of sales ar commissions pa | | (c) Amount | | (d) Purpose |) | | (e) Organization code | |
| | | | | | 4 | | | |
| For Paperwork Reductio | n Act Notice | and OMB Control Numbers, see | the instructions for F | orm 5500. | | Sch | edule A (Form 5500) 2014 v. 140124 | |

| Schedule A (Form 5500) 2 | 014 | Page 2 - 1 | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------|
| (a) Nam | e and address of the agent, br | oker, or other person to whom commissions or fees were pai | d |
| | | | |
| | • | | |
| | | Fees and other commissions paid | (e) Organization |
| (b) Amount of sales and base commissions paid | (c) Amount | (d) Purpose | code · |
| | | | |
| (a) Nam | e and address of the agent, b | roker, or other person to whom commissions or fees were pa | d |
| (cc) (tca) | | | |
| | | • | |
| | | Fees and other commissions paid | (e) Organization |
| (b) Amount of sales and base commissions paid | (c) Amount | (d) Purpose | code |
| commissions paid | | | |
| | | the second secon | ld |
| (a) Nan | ne and address of the agent, b | oroker, or other person to whom commissions or fees were pa | |
| (b) Amount of sales and base | (c) Amount | Fees and other commissions paid (d) Purpose | (e) Organization |
| commissions paid | (c) Amount | (d) Purpose | |
| | | | |
| (a) Nar | ne and address of the agent, I | broker, or other person to whom commissions or fees were pa | aid |
| (4) | | | |
| W. A | | Fees and other commissions paid | (e) Organization |
| (b) Amount of sales and base commissions paid | (c) Amount | (d) Purpose | code |
| · | | | |
| (a) Na | rne and address of the agent. | broker, or other person to whom commissions or fees were p | aid |
| (2) (14 | | | |
| | | | |
| (b) Amount of sales and base | | Fees and other commissions pald | (e) Organization |
| commissions paid | (c) Amount | (d) Purpose | 0000 |
| | · | | |
| | | | |

| | Schedule A (Form 5500) 2014 | Page 3 | | | | | | |
|------|--|---|---------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | - u ha trastad se a sinit for r | ourooses of | | | | |
| Part | Where individual contracts are provided, the entire group of such money | dual contracts with each carrier may be treated as a unit for purposes of | | | | | | |
| 1 5 | the general account at year | and | 4 | | | | | |
| + 00 | rrent value of plan's interest under this contract in the general accounts at year e irrent value of plan's interest under this contract in separate accounts at year e | nd | 5 | | | | | |
| 5 CL | ontracts With Allocated Funds: | | | | | | | |
| | State the basis of premium rates | | | | | | | |
| а | State the basis of prefillent rates | • | | | | | | |
| | Premiums paid to carrier | | 6b | • | | | | |
| þ | Premiums paid to carrier | | 6c | | | | | |
| C | Premiums due but unpaid at the end of the year | nnection with the acquisition or | 6d | | | | | |
| d | retention of the contract or policy, enter amount | | | | | | | |
| | Specify nature of costs | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| e | Type of contract: (1) individual policies (2) group deferre | d annuity | | | | | | |
| • | | e e | • | | | | | |
| | (3) Other (specify) | | | | | | | |
| | | nating plan, check here | П | | | | | |
| f | If contract purchased, in whole or in part, to distribute benefits from a termi | italing plan, check here | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 7 c | ontracts With Unallocated Funds (Do not include portions of these contracts man | aintained in separate accounts | | | | | | |
| a | Type of contract: (1) deposit administration (2) immediate participation guarantee | | | | | | | |
| | (3) guaranteed investment (4) other | • | | | | | | |
| | (a) The distriction in the second in the sec | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7b | | | | | |
| Ŀ | Balance at the end of the previous year | 7c(1) | | | | | | |
| C | Additions: (1) Contributions deposited during the year | - (0) | | | | | | |
| | (2) Dividends and credits | 1-1 | | • | | | | |
| | (3) Interest credited during the year | 1 | | | | | | |
| | (4) Transferred from separate account | | | | | | | |
| | (5) Other (specify below) | 7c(5) | | | | | | |
| | • | | l l | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | (6)Total additions | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | e Deductions: | 7e(1) | | • | | | | |
| | (1) Disbursed from fund to pay benefits or purchase annuities during year | 7e(2) | | • | | | | |
| | (2) Administration charge made by carrier | = (0) | | | | | | |
| | (3) Transferred to separate account | | | | | | | |
| | (4) Other (specify below) | ···· ' · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| |) | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| | | i | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | (5) Total deductions | | 7e(5) | | | | | |

| | Schedule A (Form 5500) 2014 | | Pag | ge 4 | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|---|------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Part III | ti O - tract Informat | on oup of employees of the s rposes if such contracts a vith each carrier may be to | same employe are experienc reated as a u | er(s) or members of the e-rated as a unit. Whe nit for purposes of this | same emp re contract report. | oloyee organizations s cover individual er | i(s), the nployees, |
| | the entire group of such their data contacts. | | | | | | |
| 8 Bene | ofit and contract type (check all applicable boxes) | to [7] so const | ٦٥ | Vision | | d 🗍 Life insurance |) |
| аГ | Health (other than dental or vision) | b Dental | <u>; </u> | | lovmont | h Prescription o | irug |
| еĪ | Temporary disability (accident and sickness) | f [] Long-term disabilit | | Supplemental unemp | юунчени | اسما | |
| i [| Stop loss (large deductible) | j 🗵 HMO contract | k[| PPO contract | | I Indemnity cor | macı |
| m[| J | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 9 Exp | erlence-rated contracts: | | 9a(1) | | | | |
| а | Premiums: (1) Amount received | | 4-1 | | | _} | |
| | (2) Increase (decrease) in amount due but unpai | J | | | | | |
| | (3) Increase (decrease) in unearned premium re- | serve | | | 9a(4) | | 0 |
| | (4) Earned ((1) + (2) - (3)) | ******************************** | OP(1) | | <u> </u> | | |
| b | Renefit charges (1) Claims paid | | 1 35(1) | | | 1 | |
| | est a service of feedback to see the | | 1 30(2) |] | 9b(3) | | 0 |
| | (a) 1 a slaima (add (1) and (2)) | | , | ************************** | 9b(4) | | |
| | (4) Oleima shoraed | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 90(4) | | |
| | Remainder of premium: (1) Retention charges (| (3) Incurred claims (add (1) and (2)) | | | | | |
| U | (A) Commissions | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 9c(1)(A) | | | | |
| | (B) Administrative service or other fees | | . 9c(1)(B) | | | | |
| | (C) Other specific acquisition costs | | 9c(1)(C) | | <u>'</u> | | |
| | (D) Other expenses | ****************************** | 9c(1)(D) | | | _ | |
| | (E) Taxes | ******************************* | 9c(1)(E) | | | | |
| | (E) Taxes(F) Charges for risks or other contingencies | | 9c(1)(F) | | | _ | |
| | (F) Charges for risks or other contingencies | | 9c(1)(G) | | | | |
| | (G) Other retention charges(H) Total retention | *************************************** | | ******************************* | . 9c(1)(H |) | 0 |
| | (H) Total retention | hien Doyau ataua | in cash or | credited.) | . 9c(2) | | |
| | (H) Total retention | e amounts were [] bare | n benefite of | or retirement | . 9d(1) | | |
| d | (2) Dividends or retroactive rate returns. (These Status of policyholder reserves at end of year: | (1) Amount held to provide | e Dellettie att | 31 10010111011C11C1 | 9d(2) | | |
| | (n) Ol-1 rengg/00 | | | ••••• | 9d(3) | | |
| | | | | ******** | | | |
| e | (3) Other reserves | | | | | | |
| 10 4 | to a select contracter | | | | | | 48620 |
| | | carrier | ,, | | <u>10a</u> | | |
| a b | Total premiums or subscription charges paid to carrier members in connection with the acquisition or if the carrier, service, or other organization incurred any specific costs in connection with the acquisition or retention of the contract or policy, other than reported in Part I, line 2 above, report amount | | | | 10b | | |
| _ | retention of title contract of policy; care, and | | | | | | • |
| | Specify nature of costs | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Barr | IV Provision of Information | | | | | | |
| Part | Did the insurance company fall to provide any info | rmation necessary to cor | nplete Sched | ule A? | Yes | X No | |
| 11 | Did the insurance company fall to provide any into | ention not provided | | | | | |
| 12 | If the answer to line 11 is "Yes," specify the inform | iation not provided. | | | | | |

EXHIBIT H

ASTA & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 450 7TH AVENUE SUITE 2205

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10123

www.astalaw.com

email: masta@astalaw.com

TELEPHONE: (212) 244-6555 FACSIMILE: (212) 244-4757

MICHAEL J. ASTA, ESO.* ELIOT S. BICKOFF, ESO.* REZA REZVANI, ESO.

*ADMITTED IN N.Y. & N.J.

NEW JERSEY

744 Broad Street, 16¹⁴ Fl. Newark, N.J. 07102 Tel: (976) 735-0549 Fax: (973) 765-0558

BROOKLYN

44 COURT STREET, SUITE 1217 BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11201

Bronx

1200 Waters Place, Suite 105 Bronx, N.Y. 10461

June 26, 2017

Optum Attn: Vicki Kucaba, Senior Analyst 11000 Optum Circle

MN102-0300

Eden Prairie, MN 55344

Re: Janice Buczkowski Your reference: 29607299

Group: JPMorgan Chase & Co. 000189416

D/A: 6/7/2014

Dear Ms. Kucaba:

In response to your letter of June 4, 2017 and Optum's alleged lien on this matter, please be advised that after review of the materials provided to us by Optum, the lien of your client is rejected. Under New York State law, GOL- 5-335, New York's antisubrogation statute, specifically bars liens of health insurance carriers. Ms. Buczkowski's medical expenses were paid by United Healthcare, an insurance carrier. Furthermore, page 2 of the 5500 of 2014, Section 9(a), indicates the Plan funding arrangement is "Insurance" and Section 9(b) indicates the Plan benefit arrangement is "Insurance". As such the plan is not a completely self-funded ERISA plan which pre-empts state law. Your client has no lien on Ms. Buczkowski's recovery for her injuries.

Furthermore, you and your client have not provided a complete response to our request for information of April 10, 2017. I would like to remind you that 29 U.S.C. § 1132 (C) requires ERISA plan information to be provided within thirty days from the receipt of the request. Failure to supply the above requested information within thirty days of the date of this letter may subject you to a penalty of \$100.00 per day and other costs, including attorney's fees. The following information remains outstanding:

- 3. A copy of the updated summary plan descriptions in effect for the last three years; No plan descriptions were provided;
- 4. A copy of the summary annual reports for the last three years; No annual reports were provided;
- 5. A copy of the bargaining agreement, trust agreement, contract or other instrument under which the plan was established and all amendments since the establishment date until the present;
- 6. If your plan is insured, partly insured, or provides stop-loss coverage, a copy of the documents which engage your insurance carrier and all policies of insurance; No documents regarding the engagement of HIP Health Plan of New York were provided;
- 7. A copy of your series 5500 returns and accompanying schedules for the last three years; Only 2014 was provided, please provide the 5500 for 2015 and 2016.
- 8. A copy of all written policies, memoranda, minutes of meetings and any other written documentation addressing reimbursement or subrogation, enforcement of the same, or waiver of the same from the date of establishment of the plan until present.

Therefore, as of today you and your principal are 75 days late in providing this information and therefore owe us \$7,500.00. As each day continues to pass without any information provided the fine will keep growing and we will seek to enforce our rights to it in Federal and State courts.

Should you have any questions, please do not he sitate to contact our office.

Very truly yours,

Michael J. Asta, Esq.

MJA/mg

EXHIBIT I



11000 Optum Circle MN102-0300 Eden Praírie, MN 55344

վել[[ուլիս][[[[[[[[[[[]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]

July 6, 2017



Asta & Associates Michael L Asta Esq 450 7th Ave Ste 2205 New York, NY 10123-2205

RE:

Injured Party:

Janice Buczkowski

Date of Injury:

6/7/2014

Group/Client:

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., #000189416

File #:

29607299

Dear Michael L Asta, ESQ,

This letter is a follow up to our prior communications. Optum has been retained for the above group to pursue a recovery for the medical benefits that have been paid arising out of the above captioned injury.

To date, medical benefits in the amount of \$70,561.26 have been paid on behalf of for the treatment of injuries sustained on the above captioned. The amount may increase as there is still coverage under this Plan for your client.

Please note that the health plan that paid your client medical benefits is self-funded and is governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. §1001, et seq. United HealthCare does not insured the Plan that paid your clients medical benefits. The Supreme Court in FMC Corp. v. Holliday, 498 U.S. 52, 61 (1990) decision ruled that state laws that purport to regulate insurance do not apply to self-funded ERISA plans, thus, by virtue of ERISA's deemer clause, ERISA preempts N.Y. Gen. Ob. L. §5-335.

The argument that a Plan's failure to respond to an ERISA 1024b request does not diminish the recovery rights of a plan. Optum has received your request for plan documents. We had sent a prior reply with copies of the Governing Plan documents that stated It is our position that section 104(b) of ERISA [29 U.S.C. sec. 1024(b)] does not apply to Optum, since Optum is not the plan administrator. ERISA grants a plan beneficiary/participant the right to require the plan administrator to provide certain specified documents by making a written request to the plan administrator. This right is granted by 29 U.S.C. 1024(b)(4) See, e.g., Nechis v. Oxford Health Plans, Inc., 421 F.3d 96, 104 (2d Cir. 2005). Some of the documents you have requested may not be required to be supplied under ERISA in response to a request for plan documents under ERISA Section 104, 29 U.S.C. 1024.

See Plan Administration page 3:

Plan Administrator For Health Care and Insurance Plans (except for the Short-Term Disability Plan and Business Travel Accident Insurance Plan):

JPMorgan Chase U.S. Benefits Executive c/o JPMorgan Chase & Co. Benefits Administration JPMorgan Chase & Co. One Chase Manhattan Plaza 20th Floor Mail Code: NY1-A341 New York, NY 10005-1401

See page 5 Plan Information Overview. The Plan that covered your client is listed under Plan Name he JPMorgan Chase Medical Plan/502

See "Contact Information for Plans Subject to ERISA" on page 20 for names, addresses, and telephone numbers for the Medical Plan and the Prescription Drug Plan.

Type of Administration Self-Insured/Trustee

Carry

The Summary Plan Description (SPD) provided by the Plan Administrator to Plan Beneficiaries states that the Plan is self-funded as to health benefits. This declaration is required to be made by 29 U.S.C. § 1022 and the Department of Labor's regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 2520.102-3(q), which mandate that a plan include in the SPD information on whether the plan is funded by insurance. In any litigation, the Plan Administrator will affirm that the SPD describes its self-funded status. While ERISA plans make necessary filings with the Department of Labor, the Department of Labor does not certify ERISA plan funding sources.

Federal courts have accepted a declaration from the Plan Administrator as competent proof that a Plan is self-funded. See, e.g., Health and Welfare Plan for Employees of REM, Inc. v. Ridler, 124 F.3d 207 (8th Cir. 1997); Culp, Inc. v. Cain, 414 F.Supp.2d 1118 (M.D. Ala. 2006); Walker v. Rose, 22 F.Supp.2d 343 (D.N.J. 1998); Martinez v. Humana, Inc., 2005 WL 1923532 (S.D.Tex. 2005).

No governmental entity certifies that a plan is self-funded. The Form 5500 that a Plan files with the IRS is not "proof" regarding the Plan's funding status. That the "Insurance" box is checked in Box 9 of the Form 5500 does not make the plan insured. Note that the box for "General assets of the sponsor" and/or "Trust" is also checked.

The filed 5500 form covers several portions of a plan, such as disability benefits, vision, life insurance, dental benefits and other benefits, as well as for the health plan. See e.g., United Food & Commercial Workers & Employers Ariz. Health & Welfare Trust v. Pacyga, 801 F.2d 1157, 1162 (9th Cir.1986). (court held that health plan was self-funded despite fact that plan's life insurance and accidental death and dismemberment benefits were paid for partially with insurance); State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Smith, 342 F.Supp. 2d 541 (W.D.Va. 2004) (fact that vision portion of health plan was insured did not preclude plan from being deemed "self funded" within meaning of ERISA).

The Form 5500 thus reflects that insurance is provided for some portions of the employer-provided benefits. However, the SPD specifically relates to health benefits and clearly states that the Plan is self-funded. The fact that the plan is self-funded is also reflected in the Form 5500 Schedule C.

Nevertheless, without waiving any objections or defenses, and solely as an accommodation, we are enclosing

copies of the SPD, MPD and 5500 form.

We believe this information is sufficient to determine the Plan's subrogation and reimbursement rights Please contact me directly at the number below with any questions or concerns. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vicki Kucaba, Senior Analyst

Phone: 612.632.6780 Fax: 800.842.8810

E-mail: Vicki.Kucaba@Optum.com